



Bureau Veritas Consumer Products Services UK Ltd

## TEST REPORT

**REPORT REF:** TR6050/291025B **SAMPLE RECEIVED:** 23 September 2024  
**REPORT ISSUED:** 21 October 2024

**SAMPLE DESCRIPTION:** Easycleat Device – P-clip **P.O. No.** ST/PN/072024

**ShadeTECH Ltd**

**APPLICANT:** Unit 5, Stonehall Business Park, Shannon, County Clare, Ireland, V95T992

**FAO:** Patrick Naughton

### REPORT SUMMARY:

TEST	METHOD
Strength test to determine the effectiveness of the adhesive against various surfaces	BS EN 16434:2014, Internal blinds – Protection from strangulation hazards – Requirements and test methods for safety devices, clause 6 only, excluding all conditioning

**CONCLUSION:** The samples tested performed satisfactorily when strength tested on various surfaces, as detailed in the following test report

### Signature

**Chris Hart, Technical Services Manager – Hardlines**  
**AUTHORISED SIGNATORY**

This report is issued in a standard format. Where the result(s) of a test falls within the boundary of the measurement of uncertainty and compliance cannot be specified, this shall be clearly documented on the report. The decision rule defines how measurement uncertainty is accounted for when stating conformity of a measurement with a specified requirement. Where a statement of conformity is required, measurements which fall outside the acceptance limits when taking into account the uncertainty, will be reported as inconclusive. For further information, please visit [www.bvcps.co.uk/trf](http://www.bvcps.co.uk/trf).

The information contained within any report is based on current BV CPS UK Ltd Knowledge and is given without guarantee. A satisfactory test report by no means implies that the product so tested is approved by BV CPS UK Ltd, UKAS or other body.

A report is a confidential document to the person or firm to whom it is issued and it will be strictly treated as such by BV CPS UK Ltd. It may not be reproduced either in its entirety or in part and may not be used for advertising. The person or firm whom the reports is issued may however show it or send, or a certified copy, thereof prepared by BV CPS UK Ltd, for the information of his customer, supplier or other persons directly concerned. BV CPS UK Ltd will not, without the written consent of the person or firm, enter into any discussion or correspondence with any third party concerning the contents of the report. In the event of the improper use of a report, BV CPS UK Ltd reserves the right to withdraw it or adopt any other remedy which may be appropriate.

Samples submitted for testing are accepted on the understanding that the report issued shall not form the basis of, or instrument for, any legal liability against BV CPS UK Ltd and applies specifically to the sample(s) tested and not necessarily to the bulk.

**Bureau Veritas Consumer Products Services UK Ltd, Registered in England & Wales, Company Number: 00852439**  
**Registered Office: 4b Olympic Way, Birchwood, Warrington, United Kingdom, WA2 0YL**



**SAMPLE IMAGES:**



**P-clips**



Roller blind used for P-clip testing



**INTRODUCTION:**

An examination was requested to test the sample as detailed on page one of this test report. The samples were examined before testing and no defects were noted. The tests and the results obtained are detailed below:

**TEST RESULTS:**

<b>BS EN 16434:2014</b>			
<b>Summary of Examination:</b>			
<b>Clause</b>	<b>Description</b>	<b>Result</b>	<b>Comments</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Tensioning device</b>	-	-
6.1	General	-	See note 1
6.2	Test method	Pass	See note 2

**Notes**

<b>1</b>	<p>In a deviation from the standard, testing was conducted on samples in their original condition only. The testing was conducted as follows:</p> <p>The client submitted four test surfaces along with sufficient quantities of alcohol wipes and samples of P-clips.</p> <p>The P-clip was mounted onto the test surface after the contact surfaces of both the P-clip and the test surface were cleaned with alcohol wipes. The P-clip and test surface were positioned adjacent to the mounted Roller blind, but at the maximum possible distance from the headrail, so that the cord-loop could be inserted into the P-clip with the cord-loop remaining taut.</p> <p>After a curing period had elapsed as requested by the client, a horizontal force of 60 N was applied to each cord in turn, for 30 s each time, in directions both parallel and then perpendicular to the plane of the cord loop. The actual purpose of the test was to evaluate the effectiveness of the adhesive, and not the function of the P-clip.</p> <p>The test was initially conducted after a curing period of 15 minutes. If the P-clip was removed, then the adhesive was replaced, and the sample was tested again after a curing period of 24 hours, then 48 hours if it failed, and finally 72 hours if it failed again. Whenever the P-clip complied in that it was not removed, then the test was stopped for that surface.</p> <p>The client submitted four test surfaces, as follows:</p> <p>Smooth Tile PVC Frame Alu Frame Plasterboard</p>
----------	--



2	<p>The results were as follows:</p> <p>At no point during testing were the cord loops removed from the P-clip.</p> <p>Smooth Tile – After 15 minutes the P-clip remained secured to the surface.</p> <p>PVC Frame – After 15 minutes the P-clip remained secured to the surface.</p> <p>Alu Frame – After 15 minutes the P-clip remained secured to the surface.</p> <p>Plasterboard – After 15 minutes the P-clip remained secured to the surface.</p> <p>See images below for further details.</p>
---	--



Adhesive pads and alcohol wipes



P-clip on Smooth Tile



P-clip on PVC Frame



**P-clip on Alu Frame**





**P-clip on Plasterboard**